

Off-shell Corrections and Moments of the Deep Inelastic Nuclear Structure Functions.

Christopher D. Cothran ^{*}, Donal B. Day ^{*} and Simonetta Liuti[†]

^{*} *Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, University of Virginia.*

Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA.

[†] *INFN, Sezione Sanità. Physics Laboratory, Istituto Superiore di Sanità.*

Viale Regina Elena, 299. I-00161 Rome, Italy.

Abstract

We present an improved method of handling off-shell effects in deep inelastic nuclear scattering. With a firm understanding of the effects of the nuclear wavefunction, including these off-shell corrections as well as binding effects and nucleon-nucleon correlations, we can begin to examine the role of QCD in nuclei through an analysis of the moments of the nuclear inelastic structure function F_2^A . Our analysis is aimed on one side at extracting the Q^2 dependence of the moments of the nucleon structure function by using the recent high x world Iron data and by properly subtracting nuclear effects from the perturbative contribution. On the other side we compare quantitatively the behavior of the extracted moments with a simple $O(1/Q^2)$ phenomenological form and we determine the mass term for this parametrization.

The discovery of nuclear effects in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) ultimately represents the fact that the quark and gluon structure of a *free* nucleon is different from that of a *bound* one. Nuclear effects have been found systematically in a number of high energy processes using both leptonic and hadronic probes (see *e.g.* [1] and [2] for recent reviews and references). Results are most often presented in the form of a ratio, R_A , of the nuclear cross section per nucleon to that of the free nucleon, where $R_A = 1$ within error bars means absence of nuclear effects.

In this Letter we concentrate on the Q^2 dependence of DIS in the intermediate and high Bjorken x regions ($x > 0.3$). Our aim is twofold: **1.** On one side we investigate in detail the struck particle's off-shell effects which, within a class of models based on conventional degrees of freedom, can be considered as the major source of modifications of the Q^2 dependence of the bound nucleon's structure function. In this context we make the observation that the proper framework to investigate off-shell effects in DIS from nuclei has to be sought within a relativistic theory and with a definite prescription for going beyond the Impulse Approximation (IA). As a side result, we show how one needs to be very careful about using ad hoc prescriptions. **2.** On the other side we construct the quantities needed in a QCD analysis of the data, namely the (normalized) moments of the nuclear structure functions. We show that the off-shell effects investigated in the first part of the paper have a very small impact on the moments or that, in other words, nuclear effects can be factored out almost exactly. This allows us to extract the coefficients of the Higher Twist (HT) terms of the nucleon structure functions more accurately than previous determinations ([8,9] and references therein).

1. While for the free nucleon it has been possible to address this question entirely within QCD [3–5], a natural complication arises for the nucleus [6]. On a phenomenological level we see that there are now two types of constituents, both the struck quark and the parent nuclear constituent, *e.g.* a nucleon, that can be off-shell. As we will show, the quark's transverse momentum and its virtuality are related differently in a free and in a bound nucleon, respectively and this affects their relative structure functions which, within a parton model

depend on the transverse degrees of freedom (or on the virtuality) through the particles propagators. A careful treatment of off-shell effects along these lines, turns out to be crucial and in fact *ad hoc* procedures, such as the popular DeForest prescription [7], fail consistency in the DIS region, *e.g.* by predicting a so far unobserved pattern of x -scaling violations.

One can describe the DIS process for nuclear targets with a “double” IA, according to which the virtual photon scatters off a quark that in turn belongs to a nuclear constituent (*e.g.* a nucleon). IA is expected to work at the Q^2 values of interest ($Q^2 \geq 2 \text{ GeV}^2$) and at x not in the proximity of 0 and 1. By using a description in which the struck quark and nucleon transverse degrees of freedom are decoupled (see *e.g.* Ref. [10]), the nuclear structure function can be written as a convolution formula in the *longitudinal* variables

$$F_2^A(x) = \int_x^A dz f_A(z) F_2^N\left(\frac{x}{z}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $z = (k_0 - k_3)/M\nu$ is the light-cone (LC) momentum fraction carried by the nucleon, k_o being the bound nucleon’s energy which is obtained from energy conservation at the nuclear vertex: $k_0 = M_A - \sqrt{M_{A-1}^{*2} + k^2}$ and $k_3 \equiv \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q}$.¹ $f_A(z)$ is the nucleon’s LC momentum fraction distribution,² and F_2^N is the nucleon structure function. Calculations based on Eq.(1) reproduce the slope of R_A at intermediate x ($0.3 \leq x \leq 0.55$), provided a description of nuclear dynamics which properly accounts for Nucleon-Nucleon (NN) correlations in the nucleon momentum and removal energy spectra is adopted [11] (see also [2,10]).

Theoretical predictions fall short of the data outside the interval $0.3 \leq x \leq 0.55$. It should be noted at this point that we have focused on the *nucleon* components only and that indeed Eq.(1) does not satisfy momentum conservation. This problem is generally

¹Note $M_{A-1}^* = M_{A-1} + E_{A-1}^*$ where E_{A-1}^* is the excitation energy of the outgoing $A - 1$ nucleons system which is related to the nucleon’s removal energy, E , by $E = E_{min} + E_{A-1}^*$, $E_{min} = M_N + M_{A-1} - M_A$.

² $f_A(z)$ properly includes the *flux factor* in its definition and it is normalized so as to satisfy baryon number conservation [10,11].

solved by introducing scattering from additional nuclear pions [2,16] which while restoring momentum conservation, also modifies the slope of the data at low x (at very low x nuclear shadowing eventually becomes dominant). Additional pions are not going to be of immediate relevance to our thesis which is to study the Q^2 dependence of the moments of the non-singlet structure functions, for which the high x behavior is important.

The next step is therefore to investigate whether the poor agreement with the data at high x is an indicator that IA is a poor approximation at $Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$. In other words the effect of parton interactions can be sizeable and it can manifest itself differently inside a nucleus. We begin investigating this problem by defining the role of the transverse degrees of freedom and of the struck parton's virtuality (or off-shellness) in a nucleus.

Notice that now both the struck parton and the parent nucleon are off-shell and the struck parton and spectator interactions can occur in more ways than in a free proton. With the intent of investigating this problem systematically and in a parton language suitable to nuclear targets, we start by comparing the on-shell and off-shell nucleon structure functions.

The structure functions are extracted from the cross section $\sigma(\gamma^*P \rightarrow k'X)$ (k' and X being the final quark and the spectator system). By using an infinite momentum frame parametrization of the particles four-momenta and Old-Fashioned-Perturbation-Theory (OFPT) one obtains

$$F_2^{ON}(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p_T}}{2(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{\phi(S)}{x^2(S - M_N^2)} \right]^2, \quad (2)$$

where the struck parton's momentum components are $p_\mu \equiv (xP + m^2/2xP; \mathbf{p_T}, xP)$, the spectators ones are $P_X \equiv ((1-x)P + m_X^2/2(1-x)P; -\mathbf{p_T}, (1-x)P)$, a sum over the m_X mass spectrum is implied in Eq.(2), ³ $S \equiv S(x, p_T^2) = p_T^2/x(1-x) + m_X^2/(1-x) + m^2/x$, $\phi(S)$ is the vertex function, and finally we are assuming scalar particles because our argument will be essentially kinematic (Eq.(2) can be easily generalized to spin 1/2 constituents). Notice also that the final result is Lorentz invariant and that the integration in Eq.(2) can

³The m_X distribution really determines the behavior of F_2 only at $x \approx 0$ anyway.

be directly transformed into an integral over S , thus transferring all the x dependence into the lower limit of integration: $S_{min} = m_X^2/(1-x) + m^2/x$.

By considering the same graph inside a nucleus, one obtains for the off-shell nucleon structure function

$$F_2^{OFF}(y, k_T^2) = \frac{y^2}{1-y} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{p}_T}{2(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{\phi_A(\tilde{S})}{y^2(\tilde{S} - M_N^2)} \right]^2. \quad (3)$$

where now $\tilde{S} \equiv S(y, a_T^2) = a_T^2/[y(1-y)] + m_X^2/(1-x) + m^2/y$, with $\mathbf{a}_T = \mathbf{p}_T - y\mathbf{k}_T$, $y = x/z$, because of Fermi motion (the struck parton and the spectators have now perpendicular momenta: $\mathbf{p}_T$ and $\mathbf{k}_T - \mathbf{p}_T$, respectively, k_T being the nucleon transverse momentum). Two important observations emerge from the comparison of Eqs.(2) and (3). First of all note the role played by the nucleon's k_T : while $\langle z \rangle \approx 1$ and therefore rescaling effects in the longitudinal variables are predicted to be small, k_T and p_T are similar in magnitude and we expect this to affect the derivation of the next to leading twist correlation functions in a nucleus [13]. Secondly one obtains $\langle k_T^2 \rangle / M_N^2$ modifications to the naive convolution formula (1), that can be calculated by relating F_2^{ON} and F_2^{OFF} by a shift of their longitudinal variables: $F_2^{ON}(y') = J(y, y') F_2^{OFF}(y \equiv x/z, k_T)$, where $y' \sim y \times (k_T^2 + m_X^2)/(yk_T^2 + m_X^2)$, where $J(y', y)$ is a kinematical factor. This relation between F_2^{ON} and F_2^{OFF} is obtained by transforming the integral over $\mathbf{k}_T$ into an integral over $\tilde{S}$ and by observing that the lower limit of integration, $\tilde{S}_{min} = m_X^2/(1-y) + m^2/y + yp_T^2/(1-y)$, is related to the free nucleon S_{min} , calculated above by a shift of momentum and mass (this technique has been applied often in OFPT, see Ref. [12] and references therein). It is valid within the hypothesis that the vertex function is not modified inside the nuclear medium, ($\phi_A \equiv \phi$), which is reasonable given the “locality” of the electron-nucleus interaction. The convolution integral that properly takes into account the transverse degrees of freedom (and the parton virtuality) is given by

$$F_2^A(x) = 2\pi M_N \int_x^\infty dz z \int_{k_{min}(\langle E \rangle_A, z)}^\infty dk k n_A(k) F_2^{ON}[y'(k_T^2)], \quad (4)$$

where $k \equiv \sqrt{[M_N(1-z) - E]^2 + \mathbf{k}_T^2}$, $n_A(k)$ is the nucleon momentum distribution [11], and both the Jacobian, $2\pi M_N$, and the lower limit of integration, $k_{min} = |M_N(1-z) - \langle E \rangle_A|$,

come from the transformation of variables $(k_{\parallel}, \mathbf{k}_T) \rightarrow (z, k)$. Eq.(4) satisfies, like Eq.(1), baryon number conservation but not momentum conservation because, as mentioned before, it only involves nucleons while it is well known that momentum can also be carried by nuclear pions. Eq.(4) predicts a large EMC effect than Eq.(1) essentially because $F_2^{ON}(y') < F_2^{ON}(y)$, being $y' > y$.

Therefore we conclude that in DIS scattering from a nucleus, the nucleon Fermi motion modifies the relationship between the struck parton virtuality and its transverse momentum, with respect to that of a free proton. It is well known that at high x the electron-nucleus cross section is sensitive to the high momentum components, *i.e.* to coherent effects arising from NN correlations that, as seen in Eqs.(3) and (4), can affect both the parton p_T and x distributions. The physics at high x deals with the interplay between these coherent effects and the pure QCD interactions between the struck and spectator partons in the nucleus. This perhaps subtle point is the main result of the present paper which is intended to prepare the ground for our further investigation of higher twists. Our approach is substantially different from some commonly used ones that are based on a longitudinal convolution formula (Eq.(1)) while taking care of the off shell nucleon through *ad hoc* prescriptions. Such approaches are not only conceptually wrong, based on our previous discussion, but we show that they predict a pattern of x -scaling violations that is not observed in the data. In particular, we discuss the most popular model, obtained by extending to DIS the “De Forest’s prescription” [7] which was originally constructed for electron-nucleus Quasi-Elastic (QE) scattering and first applied to nuclear DIS in Ref. [14] (see also [15] for nuclear matter). The underlying philosophy is that lacking any knowledge on the form of the operators connecting off-shell nucleon states, one should reevaluate the kinematics according to:

$$k_o \equiv M_N - E - T_{A-1} \rightarrow \bar{k}_o = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + M_N^2}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\nu \rightarrow \bar{\nu} = \nu - (\bar{k}_o - k_o), \quad (5b)$$

where $T_{A-1} = k^2/2M_{A-1}$ is the $A - 1$ system’s recoil energy. k_o is the off-shell nucleon energy whereas $\bar{k}_o$ is DeForest’s proposal for its on-shell substitute. This method essentially

reduces to putting the initial nucleon back on shell, at the expense of modifying the energy dependence of the four-momentum transfer, q_μ . Equivalently, the ambiguity due to the interaction involving an off-shell nucleon current is solved by “rescaling” the Q^2 dependence of the nucleon structure functions. By implementing Eqs.(5b, 5b) in the calculation of the inelastic cross section, one also obtains a breakdown of the convolution formula because of the modifications in the Q^2 which is shared by the struck nucleon [14].

2. Since our final objective is to understand QCD in nuclei, in the last part of this paper we concentrate on the moments of the nuclear structure functions. It is a well known consequence of the IA with no off-shell effects that the nuclear moments factorize into the (Q^2 -dependent) nucleon moments and the (Q^2 -independent) moments of the nuclear light cone distribution. In what follows we show our theoretical expectations based on the previous discussion of off-shell effects along with our extraction of nuclear moments from current world experimental data. From our analysis we are able to determine the coefficient of the $O(1/Q^2)$ correction and to compare it with the results of the quantitative analysis of Ref. [8] and [9] which were obtained from data extending up to a lower value of x .

The Cornwall-Norton moments of the nuclear structure functions read

$$M_n^A(Q^2) = \int_0^A dx x^{n-2} F_2^A(x, Q^2). \quad (6)$$

If F_2^A is written in terms of a longitudinal convolution formula the following factorization holds [16]

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^A(Q^2) &= \left[\int_0^A dz f_A(z) z^{n-1} \right] \int_0^A dx x^{n-2} F_2^N(x, Q^2) \equiv \\ &\equiv \mathcal{M}_n^A M_n^N(Q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which is such that the ratio $M_n^A(Q^2)/M_n^N(Q^2)$ is independent of Q^2 . A breakdown of the longitudinal convolution formula translates into a breakdown of such a factorization. We examined two different mechanisms deriving from off-shell effects, namely: (i) the presence of transverse degrees of freedom in the present approach, and (ii) the modification of the way Q^2 is shared by the struck nucleon according to [7,14]. Our results are presented in Fig.1 for

$n = 3 - 5$. All theoretical results were obtained by using the same realistic spectral function which includes NN correlations [17]. The experimental data are for ^{56}Fe ; they have been obtained from an analysis of world data to be discussed later. One can see that while the convolution formula predicts no Q^2 dependence at all, prescription (i) shows a very slight breaking of factorization which is evident from the small slope in Q^2 and prescription (ii) seems to display an unphysical wiggle and a steeper slope, due to the way the Q^2 is rescaled according to Eqs.(5b,5b). The existing data are not accurate enough to detect any slope in the given Q^2 range.

In order to optimize the extraction of the Q^2 dependence of M_n^A we then investigated the following quantity: $\mathcal{R}_n^A = [M_n^A(Q^2)/M_n^A(Q_o^2)]^{-1/d_n}$. In the free nucleon case and for the non singlet (NS) part of the structure function, the LO perturbative QCD, prediction for this quantity is

$$\left[\frac{M_n^N(Q^2)}{M_n^N(Q_o^2)} \right]^{-1/d_n} = \frac{\alpha_S(Q_o^2)}{\alpha_S(Q^2)} \equiv C \left(\ln Q^2 - \ln \Lambda_{QCD}^2 \right) \quad (8)$$

where $d_n = \gamma_n/2\beta_o$, γ_n are the NS anomalous dimensions, and $\beta_o = 11 - 23N_f$. Q_o^2 is an arbitrary scale to be chosen conveniently. The motivation for normalizing the nuclear moments at a scale Q_o^2 can be understood by looking at the behavior of the curves in Fig.1, where the different treatments of off-shell effects are shown to produce overall depletions of different size. In the ratio $\mathcal{R}_n^A$ such differences are leveled and one can concentrate on the Q^2 -dependence only. Having in mind Eq.(7), in Fig.2 we plotted $\mathcal{R}_n^A$ vs. $\ln Q^2$. For a free nucleon any deviation from a straight line can be considered as a signal of higher twist contributions, since it has been shown [8] that NLO terms are negligible. For a bound nucleon one has the initial problem of distinguishing the off-shell effects originating from the nucleon transverse degrees of freedom, from “pure QCD” higher twist effects. The data points shown are extracted from the results of experiments at CERN (NA2) [18] and SLAC (E139,NE18) [19,20]. To combine these different sets, most of the data needed to be interpolated to common values of Q^2 to generate measured F_2^A curves. The NE18 [20] data provided the high x values of F_2^A ; however, the QE contribution was large and its removal by

a calculation [17] introduced additional systematic error. The extrapolation to values of x beyond the region of the data also introduced error. At low x , the error is small and becomes negligible for moments $n > 2$. At high x the extrapolation error is significant except where NE18 data is available. This error was estimated by looking at the range of values produced by different extrapolation methods. For all moments the extrapolation error is at least as large as the statistical uncertainty, and becomes dominant for large n .

We compare data with our results for the convolution formula and our off-shell prescription. The normalization point is at $Q_o^2 = 12.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. We present results for $n \geq 3$ because here the contribution of the singlet part of the structure function becomes negligible. The off-shell curve deviates very little from the free nucleon one Eq.(8) (they are indistinguishable in the figure). Therefore we conclude that the off-shell effects considered here, *i.e.* the ones that derive from the modifications to the struck quark p_T in a bound nucleon, have been properly subtracted through the use of the normalized ratios shown in Fig.2.

We can now look for the presence of higher twist terms in the data. In order to address this problem quantitatively, we started from considering the simple parametrization [4,8]

$$M_n^A(Q^2) = M_n^{pQCD}(Q^2) \left(1 + \frac{n\tau^2}{Q^2} \right). \quad (9)$$

where $M_n^{pQCD}(Q^2)$ is given by Eq.(8) and τ^2 is the mass parameter to be determined. We assume initially that the $O(1/Q^2)$ correction is not A-dependent. Our results are presented in Fig.3 for a fixed range of values of $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.200 - 0.260 \text{ MeV}$. The values displayed show the sensitivity to the low Q^2 region: results change dramatically by excluding the point at $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ (diamonds), and by including it (stars) where after $n = 5$ (*i.e.* where high x also dominates), the validity of Eq.(9) becomes doubtful. Our result is somewhat smaller than in the previous extraction, $\tau^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ GeV})^2$, [9], but it is consistent with the original estimate, $\tau^2 = (0.4 \text{ GeV})^2$, [4]; and with Ref. [8] (see area between the dotted lines in Fig.3).

In conclusion, we have shown that the complications of kinematical origin due to nuclear effects and nucleon off-shellness contribute a small, if not negligible, modification to the Q^2

dependence of the moments of the nuclear structure function F_2^A . Therefore the consequences of QCD in nuclei can be examined in detail. In particular, we successfully extracted the coefficient of the $O(1/Q^2)$ power corrections. As these effects are prominent in the region of low Q^2 and large x , we anticipate the forthcoming Jefferson Laboratory experiments [21,22] to significantly reduce the experimental uncertainties in the extraction of the moments of F_2^A .

We thank Ingo Sick for raising interesting questions.

This work was partly funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) #*DE – FG0586ER40261*.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Arneodo, Phys. Rep.**240** (1994) 301.
- [2] D.F.Geesaman, K.Saito and A.W.Thomas, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **45** (1995) 337.
- [3] R.K.Ellis, G.Parisi, R.Petronzio, Phys. Lett. **64B** (1976) 97.
- [4] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2495; Ann. of Phys. **103** (1977) 315.
- [5] R.K.Ellis, W.Furmanski and R.Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. **B212** (1983) 29.
- [6] T.D. Cohen, Talk delivered at the *Workshop on the Deep Inelastic Structure of Nuclei held at Jefferson Lab*, December 1996, Eds. S. Liuti and W. Melnitchouk, p.77.
- [7] T. DeForest, Nucl. Phys. **A 392** (1983) 232.
- [8] M.R. Pennington and G.G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. **B179** (1981) 324.
- [9] L.F. Abbott and R.M. Barnett, Ann. Phys. **125** (1980) 276.
- [10] B.L. Birbrair, E.M. Levin and A.G. Shuvaev, Nucl. Phys. **A491** (1989) 618.
- [11] C. Ciofi degli Atti and S. Liuti, Phys. Lett. **225B** (1989) 215; Phys. Rev. **C41** (1990) 1100.
- [12] F.E. Close, “*An Introduction to Quarks and Partons*”, Academic Press, Fifth Printing (1989) pp.199-205.
- [13] C. Cothran and S. Liuti, in preparation.
- [14] H. Meier-Hajduk, U. Oelfke and P.U. Sauer, Nucl. Phys **A500** (1991) 637 and *ibid* **A499** (1989) 637.
- [15] O.Benhar, V. Pandaripande and I.Sick, Phys. Lett. **B410** (1997) 79.
- [16] R.G. Roberts, “*The Structure of the Proton*”, Cambridge University Press (1990).

- [17] C. Ciofi degli Atti, D.B. Day and S. Liuti, Phys. Rev. **C46** (1992) 1045.
- [18] J.J. Aubert et al., Phys. Lett., **123B** (1983) 275.
- [19] J. Gomez et al., Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 4348.
- [20] J. Arrington et al., Phys. Rev. **C53** (1996) 2248.
- [21] TJNAF Experiment E89008, D.B. Day and B.W. Filippone spokespersons.
- [22] C. Keppel, private communication.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Ratio of the moments for ^{56}Fe to the nucleon ones. The experimental data extracted in this paper are compared to: (a) the convolution formula; (b) the off-shell model described in this paper; (c) the De Forest prescription.

FIG. 2. Pennington-Ross plots for the world data on F_2^A . Solid curves: LO theoretical results using the convolution formula; The singlet contribution is shown for $n = 3$ (short dashed line) and it is negligible at higher n . The off-shell effects are shown for $n=4$ (short dashed line) as an example since they are of the same magnitude, and therefore negligible, for the other values of n . Dotted curves: expectation for the “simple” higher twist contribution (Eq.(9)), calculated with τ^2 from our experimental fit.

FIG. 3. The mass parameter (squared), τ^2 , which regulates the simple twist-4 expectation of Eq.(9). The diamonds are obtained from our fits to the ^{56}Fe data, excluding the point at $Q^2 = 2\text{GeV}^2$; the stars include $Q^2 = 2\text{GeV}^2$; the two dotted lines show the range of the predictions by Ref. [8]. The value of τ^2 originally obtained by de Rujula et al., was 0.16GeV^2 , which is still in qualitative agreement with our results.





